

## F.No. 375/07/B/15-RA GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)

14, HUDCO VISHALA BLDG., B WING 6<sup>th</sup> FLOOR, BHIKAJI CAMA PLACE, NEW DELHI-110 066

Order No. 38/17-Cus dated 2-/1-2017 of the Government of India passed by Shri R.P.Sharma, Principal Commissioner & Additional Secretary to the Government of India, under section 129DD of the Custom Act, 1962.

Subject

Revision Application filed, under section 129 DD of the Customs Act 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal No.ASR-CUSTOM-PRV-APP-283/15 dated 08.01.2015 passed by the Commissioner of

Customs, Chandigarh

**Applicant** 

Mr. Ahmad Farid, Haryana.

Respondent:

Commissioner of Customs, (Preventive), Amritsar

\*\*\*\*\*\*

## **ORDER**

Mr. Ahmad Farid (hereinafter referred to as applicant) has filed a revision application dated 24.03.15 against the Commissioner (Appeals) order No. ASR-CUSTOM-PRV-APP-283/15 dated 08.01.2015 whereby his appeal against OIO dated 18.9.14, passed by Deputy Commissioner of Customs, Attari Road has been rejected. The Deputy Commissioner had vide his order confiscated the gold pieces valued at Rs.1,51,280/- carried by the applicant from Pakistan from Atari Border and imposed penalty of Rs.10,000/-

- 2. The revision application has been filed by the applicant with a request to allow to redeem the confiscated gold on payment of redemption fine for their consumption and to impose nominal penalty on the applicant for the reason that the applicant had not concealed the gold, the applicant himself had declared the gold to the Baggage Officer and the import of gold is not prohibited.
- 3. Personal hearing in this case was offered on 09.10.17 and in response Mrs. Harsimran Kaur, Advocate appeared for personal hearing and she reiterated the grounds of revision already stated in their application.
- 4. From the revision application it is evident that the applicant does not dispute the Commissioner (Appeals)'s order regarding confiscation of the goods which were brought by him from Pakistan in violation of Customs Act and Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act and his request is limited to a point that the gold confiscated by customs should be allowed to be redeemed on payment of custom duties, redemption fine and penalty.
- 5. On examination of the Commissioner (Appeals)'s order, it is observed that he has ordered for confiscation of gold on the premise that the gold is a prohibited goods for importation purpose. However, he has not cited any legal provision under which the import of gold is expressly prohibited. Instead he has observed that any goods imported in the baggage beyond what is permitted in the Baggage Rules,

1998 are prohibited goods as defined under Section 2(33) of the Act. But the Government does not agree with his views as prohibition of the goods has to be notified by the Central Government under Section 11 of the Customs Act or any other-Law-and-the-goods-cannot-be-called-as-prohibited-goods-simply-because-it-wasbrought by any person in violation of any legal provision or without payment of custom duty. Any goods imported without payment of duty and in violation of any provision of the Customs Act are also liable for confiscation under Section 11 of the Customs Act, but confiscated goods is not necessarily to be always prohibited goods. While there is no dispute in this case that the gold brought by the applicant from Pakistan is liable for confiscation because he did not follow the proper procedure for import thereof in India and attempted to smuggle it without payment of custom duties, it is beyond any doubt that the gold is not a prohibited item under Customs Act. In fact the same Commissioner (Appeals), in the case of Mr. Farook Ahmed, has observed in his order No. ASR-Custm-PVR-APP/318/2015 dated 03.03.2015 that gold is not prohibited goods and he allowed the passenger to redeem the goods on payment of fine and penalty etc. Therefore, the Commissioner (Appeals) has taken a totally different stand by upholding absolute confiscation of gold in this case. Even the Courts, Tribunal, Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Delhi, Chandigarh and J.S.(RA) have held gold as non-prohibited goods in a large number of orders. Therefore, the original adjudicating authority and thereafter the Commissioner (Appeals) were under legal obligation under Section 125 of the Customs Act 1962 to provide an option to the applicant to redeem the confiscated goods on payment of custom duties, redemption fine and penalty. But since they have not given any such option, the Government allows the applicant to redeem the confiscated gold on payment of customs duties as applicable, fine of Rs.60,000/- and penalty of Rs.10,000/-.

6.. Accordingly, the revision application filed by Mr. Ahmed Farid is allowed and the Commissioner (Appeals)'s order is modified to the extent as discussed above.

ps. har ma 2.11.17

(R.P.Sharma)
Additional Secretary to the Government of India

Mr. Ahmad Farid Village Bubal Heri Tehsil Firozpur, Jhirka Distt. Mewat Nue Haryana.

**ATTESTED** 

(Ravi Prakash)
OSD (REVISION APPLICATION)

Order No. 3 8 /17-Cus dated 02 -//~2017

## Copy to:

- 1. Commissioner of Customs, New (Preventive), Customs House, C.R.Building, The Mall, Amritsar-143001
- 2. The Commissioner of Customs & Central Excise (Appeals), Plot No.19, Sector-17C, Chandigarh (UT)
- 3. Deputy Commissioner of Customs, LCS Attari Rail Attari Distt. Amritsar
- 4. Sh. S. S. Arora, Advocate, B-1/71, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi 110023
- 5. PS to AS(RA)
- 6. Guard File.
- 7. Spare Copy